

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/488,971	01/21/2000	William J. Baer	STL000015US1	5172
23373	7590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2176	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/488,971	BAER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
William L. Bashore	2176		

Advisory Action	09/488,971 BAER ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Bashore	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folking places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the same	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 extension and the corresponding amount a shortened statutory period for reply originer than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, a	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of the control of the contro		TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		jected claims.	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attack	nea.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered to <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	(D)	No(s). WILLIAM BASHOF PRIMARY EXAMIN	RE
			En.



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding reference Microsoft PowerPoint (referenced in IDS filed June 25, 2004), it is respectfully noted that the analysis by an examiner of non-patent literature within Applicant's IDS is limited to what is presented to the examiner. In the instant case, the examiner cannot consider the Microsoft reference at the present time, because a date on said reference cannot be found. It is noted that the conditions upon which Applicant obtains said reference does not obviate the Office's requirement for a printed date.

Regarding the examiner's rejection of the pending claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), it is noted that ezWriter is made to accommodate any authored textual work, therefore, Bromberg's "questions and answers" can fit within the constructs of ezWriter. ezWriter comprises a hierarchical tree. Since Bromberg's parent/child containers are hierarchically based (i.e. tree based using inheritance), there is no reason why ezWriter's tree cannot be combined with Bromberg's container (tree) to result in a hierarchical tree of containers. See also Final office action mailed May 18, 2005.

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/8/2005

August 8, 2005